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## What things are important when buying a computer?

- What features do you look for when buying one?


## Computer "Performance"

- MIPS (Million Instructions Per Second) vs. MHz (Million Cycles Per Second)
- Throughput (jobs/seconds) vs. Latency (time to complete a job)
- Measuring, Metrics, Evaluation - what is "best"?

Pentium 4
The PowerBook G4 outguns Pentium III-based notebooks by up to 30 percent.*

* Based on Adobe Photoshop tests comparing a 500 MHz PowerBook G4 to 850MHz Pentium III-based portable computers


## Performance Example: Planes

| Airplane | Passenger <br> Capacity | Cruising Range <br> (miles) | Cruising <br> Speed <br> (mph) | Passenger Throughput <br> (passengermile/hour) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Boeing 777 | 375 | 4630 | 610 | 228,750 |
| Boeing 747 | 470 | 4150 | 610 | 286,700 |
| Concorde | 132 | 4000 | 1350 | 178,200 |
| Douglas DC8 | 146 | 8720 | 544 | 79,424 |

- Which is the "best" plane?
- Which gets one passenger to the destination first?
- Which moves the most passengers?
- Which goes the furthest?
- Which is the "speediest" plane (between Seattle and NY)?
- Latency: how fast is one person moved?
- Throughput: number of people per time moved?


## Computer Performance

- Primary goal: execution time (time from program start to program completion)

$$
\text { Perf ormane }=\frac{1}{\text { ExecutionTime }}
$$

- To compare machines, we say " X is n times faster than Y "

$$
n=\frac{\text { Perf ormane }_{x}}{\text { Perf ormane }_{y}}=\frac{\text { ExecutionTime }_{y}}{\text { ExecutionTime }_{x}}
$$

- Example: Machine Orange and Grape run a program Orange takes 5 seconds, Grape takes 10 seconds
- Orange is
 times faster than Grape


## Execution Time

- Elapsed Time
- counts everything (disk and memory accesses, I/O , etc.)
- a useful number, but often not good for comparison purposes
- CPU time
- doesn't count I/O or time spent running other programs
- can be broken up into system time, and user time
- Example: Unix "time" command

```
fpga.olin.edu> time javac CircuitViewer.java
3.370u 0.570s 0:12.44 31.6%
```

- Our focus: user CPU time
- time spent executing the lines of code that are "in" our program



## CPI

- How do the \# of instructions in a program relate to the execution time?
CPU clock cycles

for a program $\quad$| Instructions |
| :---: |
| for a program |

Average Clock
for a program $=$ for a program
Cycles per Instruction
(CPI)
$\begin{gathered}\text { CPU execution time } \\ \text { for a program }\end{gathered}=\begin{gathered}\text { Instructions } \\ \text { for a program }\end{gathered} \quad * \quad$ CPI $\quad * \quad \frac{1}{\text { Clock rate }}$

CPI Example

- Suppose we have two implementations of the same instruction set (ISA).
- For some program

Machine A has a clock cycle time of 10 ns . and a CPI of 2.0 Machine B has a clock cycle time of 20 ns . and a CPI of 1.2

- What machine is faster for this program, and by how much?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CPO Clock cycles } A_{A}=I \times 2.0 \\
\text { " }_{B}=I \times 1.2 \\
\text { CPU Time } A=I \times 2.0 \times 10 \mathrm{~ns}=20 \times I \mathrm{~ns} \\
B=I \times 1.2 \times 20 \mathrm{~ns}=24 \times I \mathrm{~ns} \\
\text { A fast than } B \frac{24}{20}=1.2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing CPI

- Different types of instructions can take very different amounts of cycles
- Memory accesses, integer math, floating point, control flow

$$
C P I=\sum_{\text {types }}\left(\text { Cycles }_{\text {type }} * \text { Frequency }_{\text {type }}\right)
$$

| Instruction Type | Type Cycles | Type Frequency | Cycles * Freq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALU | 1 | $50 \%$ | 0,5 |
| Load | 5 | $20 \%$ | 1.0 |
| Store | 3 | $10 \%$ | 0,3 |
| Branch | 2 | $20 \%$ | 6,4 |

CPI \& Processor Tradeoffs

| Instruction Type | Type Cycles | Type Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALU | 1 | $50 \%$ |
| Load | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| Store | 3 | $10 \%$ |
| Branch | 2 | $20 \%$ |

How much faster would the machine be if:

1. A data cache reduced the average load time to 2 cycles?

$$
\text { ORIG: } 5 \times .2 \text { 2 } 2.6 \Rightarrow \frac{2.2}{1.6}=1.375 x
$$

2. Branch prediction shaved a cycle off the branch time?

$$
0,4 \Rightarrow 0,2 \frac{2.2}{2}=1.1 x
$$

3. Two ALU instructions could be executed at once?

$$
1 x .5 \Rightarrow 0.5 x .5 \rightarrow \frac{2.2}{1.8}=1.22 x
$$

Warning 1: Amdahl's Law

- The impact of a performance improvement is limited by what is NOT improved:
$\begin{gathered}\text { Execution time } \\ \text { after improvement }\end{gathered}=\begin{gathered}\text { Execution time } \\ \text { of unaffected }\end{gathered}+\begin{gathered}\text { Execution time } \\ \text { affected }\end{gathered} * \frac{1}{\text { Amount of improvement }}$
- Example: Assume a program runs in 100 seconds on a machine, with multiply responsible for 80 seconds of this time. How much do we have to speed up multiply to make the program run 4 times faster?



## Warning 2: MIPs, MHz $\neq$ Performance

- Higher MHz (clock rate) doesn't always mean better CPU Orange computer: 1000 MHz, CPI: 2.5, 1 billion instruction program

$$
T=\frac{13 \times 2.5}{1007}=2.5 \mathrm{~s}
$$

Grape computer: ${ }^{1} 500 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CPI}: 1.1,1$ billion instruction program

$$
T=1 \beta \times 1.1=2.2 \mathrm{~s}
$$

- Higher MIPs (million instructions per second) doesn't always mean better CPU
1 MHz machine, with two different compilers $A: 10 \mathrm{M}+1.5 \mathrm{M}=15 \mathrm{M}$
Compiler A on program X:10M ALU, 1M Load Compiler B on program X: 5M ALU, 1M Load

$$
B: 5 M+1.5 M=10 M
$$

Execution Time: $A^{5 \mathrm{~s}} B^{10 \mathrm{~S}}$


MIPS: A
B $\qquad$ .6

| Instruction Type | Type Cycles |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALU | 1 |
| Load | 5 |
| Store | 3 |
| Branch | 2 |

## Processor Performance Summary

- Machine performance:

| CPU execution time |
| :---: |
| for a program |$=$| Instructions |
| :---: |
| for a program |$\quad * \quad \mathrm{CPI} \quad * \quad \frac{1}{\text { Clock rate }}$

- Better performance:

- Improving performance must balance each constraint Example: RISC vs. CISC

